



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,503	06/28/2000	Michael T. Moore	0325.00364	5734
21363	7590	11/19/2004	EXAMINER	
CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C. 24840 HARPER ST. CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20041110

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents


David H. Malzahn  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 2124

<b>Communication Re: Appeal</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/605,503	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOORE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> David H. Malzahn	<b>Art Unit</b> 2124

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☐ The Notice of Appeal filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is not acceptable because:
  - (a) ☐ it was not timely filed.
  - (b) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b).
  - (c) ☐ the appeal fee received on \_\_\_\_\_ was not timely filed.
  - (d) ☐ the submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (e) ☐ the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application.
  - (f) ☐ a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on \_\_\_\_\_.
  
2. ☒ The appeal brief filed on Sept. 9, 2004 is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:
  - (a) ☐ the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.
  - (b) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).
  - (c) ☐ the submitted brief fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$\_\_\_\_\_.

*A legible copy of the appeal brief is required.*  
~~The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).~~
  
3. ☐ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:
  - (a) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.
  - (b) ☐ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.
  - (c) ☐ Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (d) ☐ other: \_\_\_\_\_
  
4. ☐ Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:
  - (a) ☐ is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.
  - (b) ☐ is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.
  - (c) ☐ is before the examiner for consideration of the submission and prosecution has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

  
 David H. Malzahn  
 Primary Examiner  
 Art Unit: 2124